	K9T3CORC
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
2	X
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
4	v. 19 CR 725 (JPO)
5	DAVID CORREIA,
6	Defendant.
7	x
8	New York, N.Y. September 29, 2020
9	2:30 p.m.
10	Before:
11	HON. J. PAUL OETKEN,
12	District Judge
13	District oudge
14	APPEARANCES
15	AUDREY STRAUSS Acting United States Attorney for the
16	Southern District of New York DOUGLAS ZOLKIND
17	REBEKAH DONALESKI NICOLAS ROOS
18	Assistant United States Attorneys
19	MARCUS NEIMAN & RASHBAUM, LLP
20	Attorneys for Defendant JEFFREY E. MARCUS
21	-and- GOODWIN PROCTER, LLP
22	Attorneys for Defendant WILLIAM J. HARRINGTON
23	WILDIII O. HAMMINGION
24	
25	

THE COURT: Good afternoon. This is Judge Oetken. Bruce, you can call the case.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: This is in the matter of the United States of America v. David Correia. Starting with the government, counsel, please state your name for the record.

MR. ZOLKIND: Good afternoon, your Honor. This is Doug Zolkind for the government. I'm joined on the line by Rebekah Donaleski and Nicolas Roos.

MR. HARRINGTON: Good afternoon, your Honor. This is Bill Harrington for Mr. Correia. My co-counsel Jeff Marcus is also on the line.

MR. MARCUS: Good afternoon, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon. Is there anyone else on the line? Is Mr. Correia on the line?

MR. HARRINGTON: He's not.

THE COURT: Did you talk to him about the fact that this conference would be taking place?

MR. HARRINGTON: I'm not sure that I did. I've been in touch with him repeatedly over the weekend. From the Court's e-mail, which described it as an informal conference for counsel, I didn't think I needed to. But I'm sure I can do that very, very quickly if it is concerning to the Court. I could reach him right now.

THE COURT: Yes. Sorry, I guess I didn't make that clear. I thought maybe he would be on. At the very least, I

K9T3CORC

would think he would have to waive his presence to talk about this. Unless you don't think he needs to.

MR. HARRINGTON: I didn't think so. But I can join him on right now. I apologize to have the Court wait, but I'll call him and join him in now.

THE COURT: Okay.

(Pause)

MR. HARRINGTON: Your Honor, it is Bill Harrington and I have on with me my client, Mr. Correia. I gave him a brief explanation of what I thought the purpose of the conference was.

THE COURT: Thanks. Mr. Correia, can you hear me?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, how are you.

THE COURT: Good. Thank you. Thanks. So, all we've done so far is to identify counsel for the government and your counsel, Mr. Harrington and Mr. Marcus.

I'm Judge Oetken, and the purpose of the conference is to address the motion filed by Mr. Marcus and Mr. Harrington to withdraw as counsel for Mr. Correia in this case.

There is a separate issue of what's public and what's not public. You'll note that I docketed the letter from Mr. Harrington, which indicates my conclusion that these are judicial documents with a presumption of public access. The extent to which particular financial information will be sealed is something I haven't concluded, and I do think there is a

good argument that, for example, a financial affidavit or something like that could well be sealed. But because the fact of the appointment itself reflects some measure of information and is a public event, I do think that the sort of basic reason for the withdrawal is likely to be public. In any event, I wasn't intending to address that to today.

For purposes of today, I'll treat this transcript as sealed, and I didn't put anything on the docket indicating that this was public. So I wanted confirm, is there anyone else on line, other than counsel for the parties and my staff and the court reporter?

THE DEPUTY CLERK: I have ability to lock the call so no one else can join, and I've done that.

THE COURT: Thank you. That's helpful. So pending further order, you can assume this is not going to be —— the transcript of this is not going to be publicly filed, and I'm directing the court reporter to mark the transcript of this conference as sealed.

So, when I issued my order recently in response to the motion to withdraw, I asked or I directed counsel, withdrawing counsel, to speak with your client and get back to me on the possibility of filling out a financial affidavit and/or alternative counsel.

So could you give me an update on where things stand in that regard.

MR. HARRINGTON: I can, your Honor. This is Bill Harrington. Mr. Correia has filled out a CJA form 23, and I have that and I can provide that to the Court.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm not going to get into the specifics, but do you maintain, as I think you did before, that he likely qualifies for appointed counsel?

MR. HARRINGTON: I didn't assess it for that purposes. I can do that quickly. But from what I know of his financial situation and his income stream, I believe that he does.

THE COURT: Well, I'll take a look at that. Would it be possible for you to just e-mail that to my chambers e-mail?

MR. HARRINGTON: I can. I can do that right now if the Court wants.

THE COURT: That would be great. If you could. And so given the situation, do you understand your client wishes to have appointed counsel?

MR. HARRINGTON: He understands that he -- yes, yes.

I think he's disappointed that his current counsel situation
won't remain the same, potentially. But he does want appointed
counsel. He will need appointed counsel. He does want
counsel.

THE COURT: Mr. Correia, you have the right to counsel in any criminal case, and if you can't afford counsel, I can appoint counsel to represent you from a panel of attorneys who agree to a specified rate. Essentially, public funds that are

used to pay for counsel, but only for people who can't afford to hire their own counsel. And there is no strict rule about it. It is based on the type of case and the situation, it is just kind of an assessment that I make as the judge about whether you qualify for appointed counsel. For that purpose I will be reviewing the financial affidavit.

Assuming that I do determine that you do qualify, in other words, that you are not able to pay for counsel yourself, would you like to have counsel appointed by me?

THE DEFENDANT: I'm sorry, you broke up. Would I like to have counsel appointed by you?

THE COURT: Yes. Exactly.

THE DEFENDANT: I believe that's what, when I discussed it, I believe that's my understanding of the protocol. So I guess the answer would be yes.

THE COURT: Well, you are not in a position to bring on new counsel at this point yourself?

THE DEFENDANT: No, I understand the question then. I understand. Then yes, I would. As opposed to that, yes.

THE COURT: And then the next question would be, given that Mr. Harrington is actually on the CJA panel, and I've confirmed under our CJA plan that there is no rule against converting retained counsel necessarily to CJA counsel, I could do that and make Mr. Harrington CJA counsel, given that you are on panel.

Does anybody want to weigh in on that issue, starting with Mr. Harrington I guess?

MR. HARRINGTON: Judge, I don't have a view one way or the other on that. Whatever the Court thinks is best is acceptable to me. I mentioned this to Mr. Correia as a possibility, and I think he would — that that outcome would be acceptable to him as well.

THE COURT: Is that right, Mr. Correia?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor, I would, I mean, I would be very grateful if that was a possibility.

THE COURT: All right. And anything the government would like to add on the point?

MR. ZOLKIND: Your Honor, the government takes no position on that subject. We did look into it and we don't know of any legal issue with appointing Mr. Harrington as counsel. The one issue we did identify is that it looks to be, if the idea were to use CJA funds to pay for legal fees that have already been incurred, we think that would be likely a problem. But it doesn't sound like that's what's being contemplated at all.

THE COURT: Okay. So if there is no objection, I'm going to grant the motion for leave to withdraw on the part of Mr. Marcus and grant it as retained counsel for Mr. Harrington. But at the same time appoint Mr. Harrington as CJA counsel to represent Mr. Correia in the case.

This is conditional on my reviewing the CJA form, financial form, that apparently will be coming my way.

Assuming I agree that he qualifies for appointed counsel, I'll appoint Mr. Harrington. And you can treat that as a done deal unless I tell you otherwise in the next two hours.

MR. HARRINGTON: Thank you, your Honor. I sent the form to your deputy's e-mail address so he should have it.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE DEFENDANT: Thank you very much, your Honor. I appreciate that.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Is there anything further from anyone? Let me start with the government.

MR. ZOLKIND: Your Honor, just with respect to the sealing of today's proceeding, I guess first we want to make clear for the record that the government did not request sealing and wasn't asked to take a position on sealing. And then secondly, we would suggest, given the possibility that a member of the press or the public might challenge the sealing, we'd suggest it might be helpful if the Court were to state the reasons for this proceeding being sealed.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Harrington, do you have any position on that? Maybe you don't want it sealed.

MR. HARRINGTON: I don't, Judge. My understanding is that a court proceeding is different than a document. That the standard for keeping a document sealed is different, and

K9T3CORC

24

25

1	usually, appointment hearings like this would be public, and I
2	don't think that there was any discussion of Mr. Correia's
3	finances, so I wouldn't object if the Court decided not to seal
4	this transcript.
5	THE COURT: I thought we might be getting into things
6	that we did not get into which is specific financial
7	information. So, if there is no objection, I will not treat it
8	as sealed. Does anyone want me to seal it?
9	MR. ZOLKIND: Not from the government, your Honor.
10	MR. HARRINGTON: No, your Honor. Thank you for the
11	courtesy of in the first instance being open to that, but I
12	think given what's transpired, it doesn't need to be sealed.
13	THE COURT: Okay. It won't be sealed. All right.
14	Anything further from government?
15	MR. ZOLKIND: No, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: And anything further from defense counsel?
17	MR. HARRINGTON: No, your Honor. Thank you.
18	THE COURT: All right. Thank you all very much. We
19	are adjourned.
20	THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
21	(Adjourned)
22	
23	